Claims 5 and 8 are pending in the application, and are rejected. Claims 5 and 8 are herein

amended. The title of the invention is also herein amended.

Objection to the Title

The title of the invention is objected to because it is no longer commensurate with the

invention being claimed, since only process claims remain in this application. Applicants herein

amend the title to read, "Adsorbent, Process for Adsorbing and Removing Process and Adsorber

For Endogenous Cannabinoid".

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey that the

inventor, had possession of the claimed invention. The Examiner asserts that the limitation that

the process includes a step of "identifying a living body that ...may be in danger of imminently

having dangerously elevated levels of ..." (claim 8, lines 3-4) does not appear to be supported by

the disclosure originally filed.

Applicants herein amend the claims to delete the step of "identifying a living body that

either has or may be in danger of imminently having dangerously elevated levels of endogenous

anandamide or endogenous 2-arachidonoylglycerol". Applicants further amend the claim to

recite the steps of "removing body fluid from the living a living body..." and subsequently

treating it as previously recited. Applicants submit that this amendment overcomes the rejection.

Page 5 of 7

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 5

recites removing "endogenous cannabinoid" with an adsorbent for "endogenous cannabinoid"

from a fluid "containing endogenous anandamide or endogenous 2-arachidonoylglycerol;" and

the Examiner asserts that it is not clear whether this claim is intended to be limited to the

removal of endogenous anandamide or endogenous 2-arachidonoylglycerol from the fluid, or to

the removal of any endogenous cannabinoid from a fluid which merely contains one of these two

recited materials.

Applicants herein address the rejection by amending the claims to recite specifically

anandamide or 2-AG throughout the claims. Applicants submit that the claims, as amended, are

sufficiently clear.

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of commonly owned U.S. Patent No.

6,475,478. The Examiner asserts that they are not patentably distinct from each other because

the adsorbent recited in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,475,478 inherently contains a substance

having the recited solubility parameter, i.e., the "water-insoluble carrier" such as polystyrene

(see col. 4, line 54).

Applicants note that the patented invention was from the present inventors and is

commonly assigned to Keneka Corporation. Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer in

compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) that overcomes the rejection.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

Page 6 of 7

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 Attorney Docket No. 011284 Serial No. 09/961,265

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Kenneth H. Salen Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 43,077

KHS/led 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 822-1100

Q:\2001\011284\011284 Amendment 4-16-04.doc